

Assessment Report

Date: 17 February To 18 February

2022

[**Disclaimer**: The audit report has been generated to reflect the compliance of the company toward the MSPO standard and every criterion's have been in every effort taken to ensure the accuracy of the assessment and reporting produced. As the assessment is been carried out based on sampling, certain areas or processes may not be able to verified on its compliances.]

MSP0 2530:2013 Part 3

NAME OF CERTIFIED ENTITY	PERSATUAN NELAYAN NEGERI PERAK
MSPO CERTIFICATE NO &	Nil
VALIDITY	
MAIN ADDRESS	No. D5, Tingkat Atas Fasa 1C1, Bandar Baru Seri Manjung, 32040
	Sri Manjung Perak
REPORT NO	MS22MM505
TYPE OF CERTIFICATION	SINGLE
TYPE OF AUDIT	ON-SITE VERIFICATION (FIELD VISIT & DOCUMENTATION)
AUDIT STAGE	MAIN ASSESSMENT If surveillance Select

Thank you for your trustful cooperation during our audit of your organization. This report has been prepared of every effort to ensure the accuracy of the information recorded. The assessment is based on sampling on the records, practice, documents and personnel; therefore, the final results of the assessment is of representative towards the system implementation of the organization. This report is generated to record as much of the system implementation but may still limited due to the sampling. This report details the assessment results including strengths, opportunities, and weaknesses. These results were presented to your management at the closing meeting of the audit. You can use these results to improve the effectiveness of your management system. We look forward to continuing our partnership towards sustainable business success. This report has been prepared in compliance to the ISO 17021:2011 requirements.

To ensure the next assessment will be carry out in compliance to the ISO 17021:2011, please remember to immediately notify CARE Certification International about any significant change to your company at any point of time. Together we will then coordinate appropriate measures to maintain your current certification. Such circumstances include, for example, changes relating to the legal, commercial, organizational status or ownership, organization and management (e.g. key managerial, decision making or technical staff), contact address and sites, scope of operations under the certified management system, and major changes to the management system and processes. Together we CARE and will then ensure the smoothness of the upcoming assessment. Thank you for your persistence of support.

	Signed for on behalf of CCI	Signed for on behalf of client
Sign		
		Name:
		Designation:
Name	ALIFF ABU HURAIRAH	Company stamp
Date	17-18 February 2022	
Email	admin@cciglobe.com	
Fax no	038073 2688	

Confidentiality:

The Auditor shall not at any time during his/her assignment or after the completion of his/her assignment disclose to any person any information on business dealings practice or affairs of the establishment or the establishment's clients or any other matters which may come to the knowledge of the auditor by reason of his/her assignment. The Auditor agrees that the material term of this assignment is to keep all Confidential Information absolutely confidential and to protect its release to unauthorized party. The Auditor agrees not to divulge, reveal, report or use any of the Confidential Information which the Auditor has obtained or which was disclosed to the Auditor by the Client as result of the assessment for purposes other than to fulfil the audit objective.

Together, we CARE.

Section A Previous Audit Result

The result of the last audit system has been reviewed, in particular to ensure appropriate correction and corrective action has been implemented to address any nonconformities identified. This review has concluded that:

	No nonconformities have been raised during last assessment.			
\boxtimes	Any nonconformities identified during last previous audit have been corrected and the corrective action			
	continuous to be effective.			
	The management system has not adequately addressed non conformity identified during previous			
	audit activities and the specific issue has been re-defined in the nonconformity section of this report.			

Section B Conclusion

The audit team conducted a process-based audit focusing on significant aspects/risk objectives required by the standard(s). The audit methodology used is based on 3P which were People, Paper and Practice. The audit team concludes and express

- CONGRATULATION and has
- CONGRATULATION however some processes need to address non-compliance(s) but others has
- SORRY and the organization has not established and maintained its management system in line with the requirements of the standard and
- \boxtimes demonstrated
- not demonstrated

the ability of the system to systematically achieved agreed requirements within the scope of the organizations.

Base on the record, there is/are 22 unresolved issue. Therefore, the audit team recommends that based on the results of this audit and the system's demonstrated state of development and maturity, management system certification be:

- Granted (initial certification or recertification)
- \boxtimes Granted upon the acceptance of the noncompliance(s)
- Continued (surveillance)
- Continued (surveillance) upon the acceptance of the noncompliance(s)
- Withheld
- Suspend until satisfactory corrective action(s) is completed
- Others (please specify)

NOTE:

The assessment and recommendation for the initial or continue was based on random samples and therefore nonconformities may exist which have not been identified. All the pages should be attached if the organization wishes to copy and delivered to the interested party.

Section C (For Recertification only)

1	The company has demonstrated effective implementation and maintenance/improvement on its	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	management system	
2	The internal audit program has been fully implemented and demonstrates its effectiveness as a	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	tool for maintaining and improving the management system.	
3	The management review process demonstrates its capability to ensure the continuing suitability,	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	adequacy and effectiveness of the management system	
4	Throughout the audit process, the management system demonstrates overall conformance with	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	the requirements of the audit standard	

Section D Auditor and Auditees Names

CCI Assessors	Attendance during opening and closing meeting		
Team leader	Name Designation		
Aliff Abu Hurairah Abas	Rizuan Razak Estate Manager		
Team member	Amizah Amin Nordin Administrative		
	Eee Ah Beng Contractor representative		
Trainee auditor			
Observer			

Section E Audit Process Matrix

Next Audit Matrix (legend " plan to cover & covered, " for uncover)

Planned month & year	2/2022	2/2023	2/2024	2/2025	2/2026
Internal Audits	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Stakeholder consultation / survey	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Use of logo	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\bowtie
Follow-up from previous audit finding	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
4.1 Management Commitment & Responsibility					
4.1.1 MSPO Policy	\boxtimes	\bowtie	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\bowtie
4.1.2 Internal audit	\square	\square	\boxtimes	\square	\square
4.1.3 Management Review	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\bowtie
4.1.4 Continual Improvement	\square	\square	\boxtimes	\square	\square
4.2 Transparency					
4.2.1 Transparency of information and documents relevant to MSPO requirements	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
4.2.2 Transparent method of communication and consultation		\boxtimes			

4.2.3 Traceability	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\square	\boxtimes
4.3 Compliance to legal requirements					
4.3.1 Regulatory requirements		\boxtimes			\square
4.3.2 Land use rights	\square	\boxtimes	\square	\boxtimes	\square
4.3.3 Customary rights	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes
4.4 Social responsibility, health, safety and employment of	ondition		•	I	
4.4.1 Social impact assessment (SIA)	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\square	\boxtimes
4.4.2 Complaints and grievances		\square			\square
4.4.3 Commitment to contribute to local sustainable	N7				
development	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
4.4.4 Employees safety and health	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\square	\boxtimes
4.4.5 Employment conditions	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\square	\boxtimes
4.4.6 Training and competency	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
4.5 Environment, natural resources, biodiversity and ecos	ystem service	es			
4.5.1 Environmental management plan	\square	\square	\boxtimes	\bowtie	\bowtie
4.5.2 Efficiency of energy use and use of renewable					
energy	\square	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes
4.5.3 Waste management and disposal	\square	\boxtimes	\square	\square	\boxtimes
4.5.4 Reduction of pollution and emission	\square	\boxtimes	\square	\square	\boxtimes
4.5.5 Natural water resources	\square	\boxtimes	\square	\square	\boxtimes
4.5.6 Status of rare, threatened, or endangered species	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
and high biodiversity value area					
4.5.7 Zero burning practices	\square	\boxtimes	\bowtie	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
4.6 Best Practices					
4.6.1 Site management	\square	\boxtimes	\square	\boxtimes	\square
4.6.2 Economic and financial viability plan	\square	\boxtimes	\square	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
4.6.3 Transparent and fair price dealing	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
4.6.4 Contractor	\square	\boxtimes	\square	\square	\boxtimes
4.7 Development of new planting					
4.7.1 High biodiversity value	🗆 NA	🗆 NA	🗆 NA	🗆 NA	🗆 NA
4.7.2 Peat land	🗆 NA	□ NA	🗆 NA	🗆 NA	🗆 NA
4.7.3 Social and Environmental Impact Assessment	🗆 NA	□ NA	🗆 NA	🗆 NA	🗆 NA
4.7.4 Soil and topographic information	🗆 NA	□ NA	🗆 NA	🗆 NA	🗆 NA
4.7.5 Planting on steep terrain, marginal and fragile		🗆 NA	□ NA	□ NA	□ NA
soils					
4.7.6 Customary land	🗌 NA	🗌 NA	🗌 NA	🗌 NA	🗌 NA
Group Member Audit Matrix (SINGLE Certification)	2/2022	2/2023	2/2024	2/2025	2/2026
PERSATUAN NELAYAN NEGERI PERAK	\square	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\square	\square

Assessment man days for the next assessment: 2 md. Recertification: 11/2026 NOTE:

(i) Recertification should be carried out minimum 2 months prior to the expiry of the certificate

(ii) The Audit Programme shall include Stage 1 and Stage 2 audit, surveillance audits in the first, second, third and fourth years, and a recertification audit in the fifth year prior to expiration of certification.

(iii) The recommended minimum on-site audit duration for individual and group certification respectively, which includes the opening meeting, site auditing, document verification, and closing meeting as documented in ACB – OPMC 2, Issue 2, 04 September 2020.

(iv) Additional auditor days may be required for conducting post audit follow-up activities, such as verification on major non-conformities raised or addressing of any contentious stakeholder issues.

Section G Audit Summary

Summary of Area Audited

BUSINESS AREAS	DETAILS OF AUDITED SUMMARY	
Auditor	Date	Time
AA	17 February 2022	9.00am

Opening Meeting

- a) introduction of the participants, including an outline of their roles;
- b) confirmation of the scope of certification;
- c) confirmation of the audit plan (including type and scope of audit, objectives and criteria), any changes, and other relevant arrangements with the client, such as the date and time for the closing meeting, interim meetings between the audit team and the client's management;
- d) confirmation of formal communication channels between the audit team and the client;
- e) confirmation that the resources and facilities needed by the audit team are available;
- f) confirmation of matters relating to confidentiality;
- g) confirmation of relevant work safety, emergency and security procedures for the audit team;
- h) confirmation of the availability, roles and identities of any guides and observers;
- i) the method of reporting, including any grading of audit findings;
- j) information about the conditions under which the audit may be premature terminated;
- confirmation that the audit team leader and audit team representing the certification body is responsible for the audit and shall be in control of executing the audit plan including audit activities and audit trails;
- I) confirmation of the status of findings of the previous review or audit, if applicable;
- m) methods and procedures to be used to conduct the audit based on sampling;
- n) confirmation of the language to be used during the audit;
- o) confirmation that, during the audit, the client will be kept informed of audit progress and any concerns;
- p) opportunity for the client to ask questions.

Auditor	Date	Time
AA	17-18 February 2022	5.00pm

Closing Meeting

- a) informing the client that the audit evidence collected was based on a sample of the information; thereby introducing an element of uncertainty
- b) the method and timeframe of reporting, including any grading of audit findings;
- c) the certification body's process for handling nonconformities including any consequences relating to the status of the client's certification;
- d) the timeframe for the client to present a plan for correction and corrective action for any nonconformities identified during the audit;
- e) the certification body's post audit activities;
- f) information about the complaint handling and appeal processes.
- g) any diverging opinion that are not resolved.
- h) opportunity for the client to ask questions.

Executive Summary

CARE Certification International (M) Sdn Bhd (CCI) is first private certification body originating from Malaysia, that been accredited by Standard Malaysia under the purview of Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI). CARE Certification International have been fully complying to ISO 17021. The company is established with CARE of the needs of system certification and training in mind and is one of the leading multi-sector certification body in Malaysia.

CARE Certification International (M) Sdn Bhd (CCI) has vast experience in conducting audits related to MSPO certification. It has certified more than hundred palm oil estates throughout Malaysia. CCI has obtained accreditation from Standards Malaysia for its MSPO certification scheme, holding certificate No. MSPO 28122017 CB 06 since 28 December 2017.

This assessment was conducted on 17-18 February 2022. The audit plan is included as Appendix 2 of this report. The approach to the audit was to treat the company as a MSPO Certification Unit. A range of environmental and social factors were covered. This includes consideration of topography, palm age, proximity to areas with HCVs, declared conservation areas and local communities.

The methodology for collection of objective evidence included physical site inspections, observation of tasks and processes, interviews of staff, workers and their families and external stakeholders, review of documentation and monitoring data. MS 2530-3:2013 MSPO Part 3: General principles for oil palm plantations and organized smallholder was used to guide the collection of information to assess compliance. The comments made by external stakeholders were also taken into account in the assessment. The computation of the sampling size is elucidated by the following formula as per table below.

This report will be externally reviewed by MSPO approved Peer Reviewer prior to certification decision by CARE Certification International (M) Sdn Bhd. CCI does not provide any advice on compliance with any legislation, regulation, or standards. All audit reports and other documentation provided by the company, are given in good faith and in reliance on the accuracy and completeness of the information provided by the client. No responsibility is accepted to any third party that may rely in whole or in part on the content of this report, to the extent permitted by Law.

	Audit Findings				
1	OBS 1: 4.1.3.1 The management had established and documented the Management Review Procedure, Ref no: SOP-04 dated on 28 January 2020. However, the management review is not yet conducted by the management at this time of audit. Will be verified during next assessment	OBSERVATION			
2	OBS 2: 4.2.2.3 The management had prepared, maintained and documented the list of stakeholders. However, it was found that the list of stakeholders is not updated as other neighbouring communities and key government agencies. Will be verified during next assessment	OBSERVATION			
3	OBS 3: 4.3.1.1 It was noted that the address stated (lot no) in the MPOB license was not as according to the land title provided by the management. Will be verified duirng next assessment.	OBSERVATION			
4	OBS 4: 4.4.2i All the estate works were carried out by the appointed contractor. However, there was no employees trained in the first aid was present during field operations. Will be verified during next assessment.	OBSERVATION			

5 S	OBS 5: 4.5.3.4 The management to established an inventory record to monitor the	OBSERVATION
5 S		
F		OBSERVATION
	Schedule Waste generated by the estate as stated in the Schedule Waste	
N I -	Procedure, section 4.6.1. Will be verified during next assessment	
	NC 1: 4.2.2.2	
	There was no evidence to show that the management had appointed a	
p	person responsible for issue related to the communication during day of	
a	audit.	
٩	NC 2: 4.2.3.2	
6 T	There was no evidence to show that the management had retained the	MINOR NONCONFORMI
C	documents related to FFB traceability as stated in the clause 4.1	
T	Traceability Procedure.	
	NC 3: 4.2.3.3	
T	There was no evidence to show that the management had identify and	
a	assign suitable employee to implement and maintain traceability	
s	system.	
Γ	NC 4: 4.3.1.4	
7 T	There is no evidence to show that the management had appointed a person	MINOR NONCONFORMI
í t	to monitor the compliance, track and update the changes in regulatory	
r	requirements	
٩	NC 5: 4.4.4.2b	
Т	There was no evidence to show that the management had conducted	
	Chemical Health Risk Assessment (CHRA) for chemicals used in the estate	
a	as required under Section 9 (1) USECCH Regulation 2000	
T	There was no evidence to sow that the management had provided and	
	established a risk assessment and action plan, guideline and/or SOP for	
C	combating Covid 19 during day of audit.	
	NC 6: 4.4.4.2c	
8	There was no evidence to show that the management had conducted a	MINOR NONCONFORMI
t	training related to pesticides/chemicals for workers exposed during day of	
a	audit.	
	NC 7: 4.4.2d	
	There was no evidence to show that the management had provided a	
	Personal Protective Equipment to workers during day of audit.	
•	NC 8: 4.4.2f	
	There is no evidence to show that the management had appointed a person	
	responsible for safety and health matters in the estate.	

	NC 9: 4.4.4.2g	
	There was no evidence to show that the management had conducted a	
	meeting with contractors and workers to discuss on safety and health	
	matters during day of audit.	
	NC 10: 4.4.4.2h	
	Observe during site visit to the rest house, there was no signboards or	
	instructions were displayed such as warning signage, no naked lights and	
	emergency assembly point. Seen also the cigarettes buds at the	
	surrounding rest house area which have risks for burning since the oil palm	
	is planted on peat soil. Based on interview session with the contractor and	
	workers, it was noted that they still dont have awareness on the accident	
	and emergency procedure	
	NC 11: 4.4.4.2j	
	There was no evidence to show that the management had submitted JKKP8	
	form to DOSH as required under NADOPOD Regulation 2004.	
	NC 12: 4.4.5.5	
	There was no evidence to show tha the management had established a record that provide an accurate account of all employees (including	
	seasonal workers and subcontracted workers on the premises).	
	seasonal workers and subcontracted workers on the premises).	
	NC 13: 4.4.6.1. & 4.4.6.3	
	There was no evidence to show that the management had established a	
	training programme for employees including contractor and its workers for	
	year 2022 during day of audit	
	NC 14: 4.5.1.5	
	There was no evidence to show that the management had conducted a	
	training with contractors and workers on environmental matters during day	
	of audit.	
	NC 15: 4.5.1.6	
	There was no evidence to show that the management had conducted a	
	meeting with contractors and workers to discuss on environmental matters	
9	during day of audit.	MINOR NONCONFORMI
	NC 16: 4.5.2.1 & 4.5.2.2	
	There was no evidence to show that the management had monitor the	
	consumption of non-renewable energy and estimate the direct usage for	
	year 2022 during day of audit.	
	NC 17: 4.5.3.3	
	The management had established and documented the Environment Quality	
	Regulation (Schedule Waste Regulation) 2005. During site visit, it was found	

	that there is spillage of lubrican		-	-		
	properly managed according to	the Sche	edule Wast	e Regulation		
	2005.					
10	NC 18: 4.5.3.5					
	During site visit to the rest hous	MINOR NONCONFORM				
	wastes generated such as plast					
	and not properly managed by th	ne manag	gement.			
	NC 19: 4.6.1.1 The management had provided	the SOP	for bost pr	actions in octa	to rof no:	
	SOP-23 dated on 28 January 20					
	established is for Safe Working					
	practices and estate operations			_	-	
				5	0	
11	NC 20: 4.6.1.3					MINOR NONCONFORM
ΤT	There was no evidence to show	that the	managem	ent had provid	ed visual	
	identification or reference syste	em for ea	ch field du	ring day of auc	lit.	
	NC 21: 4.6.3.1					
	Referring to the contract agreer	ment pro	vided, it wa	s found that t	he rate of	
	payment pertaining pricing med	hanism f	for each an	d every work o	arried out	
	by contractor was not stated in	the agree	ement.			
	NC 22: 4.6.4.4					
12	There was no evidence to show	MINOR NONCONFORM				
	monitored the tasks performed for every works conducted by the					
	contractor					Select
						Select
						Select
						Select
						Select
						Select
						001000
						Select
-	g the assessment_22_nonconform					
-	g the assessment_22_nonconformi n-conformance will be further deta					
All Noi	-					
All Nor Note: The as:	n-conformance will be further deta	ailed in C mmary of	AR Form #	CCI-QP-07-3B	d Criteria – MS	Select 2530-3:2013 Malaysian
All Nor Note: The as:	n-conformance will be further deta	ailed in C mmary of	AR Form #	CCI-QP-07-3B	d Criteria – MS	Select 2530-3:2013 Malaysian
All Nor Note: The as:	n-conformance will be further deta	ailed in C mmary of	AR Form #	CCI-QP-07-3B	d Criteria – MS	Select 2530-3:2013 Malaysian
All Nor Note: The as:	n-conformance will be further deta	ailed in C mmary of	AR Form #	CCI-QP-07-3B	d Criteria – MS	Select 2530-3:2013 Malaysian
All Noi Note: The as:	n-conformance will be further deta	ailed in C mmary of al principle	AR Form #	CCI-QP-07-3B by Principle an tions and Orgar	d Criteria – MS	Select 2530-3:2013 Malaysian
All Noi Note: The as:	n-conformance will be further deta	ailed in C mmary of al principle San	AR Form # the findings tor Planta	CCI-QP-07-3B by Principle an tions and Orgar ulation	d Criteria – MS ized Smallhold	Select 2530-3:2013 Malaysian
All Noi Note: The as:	n-conformance will be further deta	ailed in C mmary of al principle San	AR Form # the findings the findings the findings the findings the findings the form the findings the form the findings the finding the findings the finding the findings the finding the findings the finding the findings the fin	CCI-QP-07-3B by Principle an tions and Orgar	d Criteria – MS	Select 2530-3:2013 Malaysian
All Nor Note: The as:	n-conformance will be further deta sessment has been assessed and su nable Palm Oil (MSPO) Part 3: Genera	ailed in C mmary of al principle San In Stage 1	AR Form # the findings the find	CCI-QP-07-3B by Principle an tions and Orgar ulation	d Criteria – MS ized Smallholo Recertification	Select
All Note: The as: Sustair	n-conformance will be further deta sessment has been assessed and su nable Palm Oil (MSPO) Part 3: Genera	ailed in C mmary of al principle San	AR Form # the findings as for Planta	CCI-QP-07-3B by Principle an tions and Orgar ulation	d Criteria – MS ized Smallhold	Select

Oil palm estate (101 - 500 ha)

 Table 1: Recommended minimum on-site audit durations (man-days) for each Operating Unit

Conclusion:

A total of 1 estates were randomly sampled for this round of assessment. This is SINGLE Certification.

(i) Main Assessment Visit (MAV):

(ii) Surveillance Assessment Visit (SAV):

х

1

_

1- = 1.5 √1

NOTE:

The details in above tables are developed to provide sufficient time under normal circumstances to adequately carry out auditing of a management unit against the certification standards for oil palm management under the MS 2530: Part 3; "General principles for oil palm plantations and organised smallholders". However, the time allocated to the various locations of complex audits must be documented to justify the allocated audit durations. The audit duration is calculated on the basis of 8 hours per day. Audit working days will be calculated to the nearest full or half day.

Summary of Assessment

The assessor(s) concluded that, based on all objective evidences reviewed, observed and discovered during this round of assessment, the Company Does Comply to the MS 2530: Part 3; "General principles for oil palm plantations and organised smallholders" standards and requirements. The following finding(s) were identified:

CATEGORY		Number of Finding (s)							
		P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	
Major Nonconfo	ormity								
(Major NCF	?)								
Minor Nonconformity (Minor NCR)			3	1	9	5	4		
Observation (OBS)		1	1	1	1	1			
Opportunity for improvement (OFI)									
PRINCIPLE 1The client has demonstrated an acceptable degree of commitment in embracing the MSPO standard requirements in its entirely through continuous internal compliance									

PRINCIPLE 1	MSPO standard requirements in its entirely through continuous internal compliance
	assessment as well as bolstering managerial and operational improvements continually.
	The client is able to maintain its transparency and efficiency in communicating data and
PRINCIPLE 2	information internally and/ or externally. The client has also established an effective
	system in upholding the traceability elements across its daily operations.
	Review of documents and physical observations during the audit stint indicated that the
PRINCIPLE 3	client is aware and abide all governing rules and regulations (with several exceptions, if
	applicable) pertaining its daily operations throughout.
PRINCIPLE 4	The client has demonstrated its ability in providing substantial amount of considerations

	towards the welfare of all stakeholders. The general and specific wellbeing of its employees (and contractors' employees) were also being sufficiently accounted for.
PRINCIPLE 5	It is evident that the Client has established a considerably holistic approach and plans in mitigating all potential negative environmental impacts arising from its oil plam plantation activities; while simultaneously enhancing the positive impacts.
PRINCIPLE 6	The Client has proved that all operations are governed by certain sets of procedures (with several exceptions, if applicable). Additionally, the Client was able to demonstrate its commitment in upholding proper governance against its business directions as well as contract management.
PRINCIPLE 7	[Omit this entire statement if P7 is not applicable]

NOTE:

By the virtue of random sampling approach, there may exist chances of non-conformities not being identified during the assessment. Such occurrence shall therefore not be related with the assessor's competency and integrity in any way.

Stakeholder Consultation					
		Position			
	Ban Foo Jet	Position	Director Ban Boon Teng Sawit		
	Ban Lai Gim		(M) Sdn Bhd (0164412390)		
			Director Ban Boon Teng Sawit		
			(M) Sdn Bhd (0164422390)		
List of Stakeholders					
Interviewed	Ee Ah Beng		Contractor representative		
	Shanmugam Ramadhas		Contractor of neighboring		
			estates		
	Mohd Mahabur Rahman		Contractor worker		
	1. All Stakeholders are aware of the grievances procedure introduced by the				
	Management.				
Inputo	2. All stakeholders complemented the Management for its good rapport and				
Inputs	relationship with the stakeholders.				
	3. All stakeholders were able to demonstrate their understanding towards the				
	relevant MSPO standard requiremer	nts made ap	pplicable to them		
Management Response	Estate management will continue enhancing its rapport with the stakeholders				
	The stakeholder consultation was successfully conducted. No complaints or				
Audit Team Conclusion	any matters worth of concerns/attention being raised by the stakeholders. The				
	stakeholders were able to demonstrate substantial understanding towards the				
	MSPO standard requirements.				

NOTE:

With reference to ABC- OPMC 4 Issue 2 dated 04 September 2020. Whenever deemed applicable, stakeholder consultation/ interview may be held in order to obtain the internal and external stakeholders' inputs on the Company's compliance towards the MSPO standards and stakeholders' views on areas where the Company could improve. Such consultation will be conducted professionally and in absolute isolation from the Company officials. All comments made by the stakeholders will be recorded and presented in this assessment report.

Competency Criteria of Audit Team

With reference to ABC- OPMC 1 Issue 2 dated 04 September 2020. This assessment has been conducted by the following approved assessor(s) which hold sufficient qualifications and experiences to conduct MSPO Assessment. CARE Certification International (M) Sdn Bhd holds copies of educational qualifications,

12

certificates and audit logs for each of the audit team members. Summary of the Assessor's credentials are as follows:

Criteria	Requirement	Lead Auditor	Auditor
Education	Post-secondary education, college or university diploma/degree in one of the following i. Agriculture; ii. Science & Technology (e.g., Environmental Sciences, Life Sciences, Geological Sciences, Natural Sciences,); iii. Engineering, Process Technology; iv. Energy Management, Quality Management; v. Social Sciences and/or Anthropology; vi. Business Management; or vii. Other relevant related fields	Aliff Abu Hurairah Abas holds a Master of Science (Hons) Plantation Industry Management from Mara University of Technology (UiTM) Shah Alam	
Work Experience	Lead: At least five (5) years of work experience in the oil palm sector or related fields such as social, health, safety and environment Auditor: Post Secondary education: At least ten (10) years of work experience in the oil palm sector or related fields such as social, health, safety and environment Tertiary education: At least three (3) years of work experience in the oil palm sector or related fields such as social, health, safety and environment	He has more than 7 over years of experience in oil palm industry in which, previously working with MPOB as Research Officer and UiTM Jengka, Pahang as a lecturer under Faculty of Plantation and Industry Management. Currently a freelance MSPO Lead Auditor/Auditor and a consultant.	
Training	 i) Attended the MS 2530 series of standards training or other auditor competency trainings endorsed by MPOCC or MPOB (pre-2016). ii) shall have undergone 40 hours of accredited OR 40 hours of lead auditor course either in Quality 	He has successfully obtained competency of Lead Auditor courses for Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO 2530:2013) and Auditor/ Lead Auditor Integrated Management System (IMS)	

	Management Systems (QMS) or Environmental Management Systems (EMS) or Occupational, Health and Safety Management Systems (OSH)	(ISO 9001:2015 & ISO 14001:2015) course. Furthermore, he also is a qualified MPOB Code of Practice (CoP) Lead Auditor.	
Auditing Experience	Lead: Conducted at least three (3) MSPO or equivalent sustainability certification audits as Lead Auditor-in-training with a minimum of fifteen (15) man-days under the direction and guidance of a qualified Lead Auditor for MSPO or equivalent sustainability certification schemes within the last two (2) years. Auditor: Conducted a minimum four (4) on-site audits for a total of at least 20 man-days of audit experience as an auditor-in-training under the direction and guidance of a qualified Lead Auditor for MSPO or equivalent sustainability certification schemes within the last two (2) years.	He had successfully completed more than 100 man-days assessment as MSPO Auditor within the last 2 years. Apart of MSPO audit, he also had performed MPOB Code of Practices (CoP) for Oil Palm Nursery (CoPN), Code of Good Agricultural Practices (CoGAP) and Code of Good Milling Practices CoPM) certification under MPOB since 2013.	
General	A good knowledge in handling and evaluating sources of information and data. Able to communicate in Bahasa Malaysia or any other local language	Able to speak and understand Bahasa Malaysia and English language.	

Details of Certified Entity (Single Certification)

1. ESTATE INFORMATION:

Category of the listed organisation is Estate

NAME OF UNIT	MPOB LICENSE NO	LOCATION	GPS COORDINATES	CERTIFIED AREAS (HA)	PLANTED AREAS (HA)		
Ladang Sawit	50401270200	PT 5349, Mukim	3.85644,	80.94	80.94		
Persatuan Nelayan	0	Hutan Melintang,	101.03109				
Negeri Perak		Hilir Perak, Perak					
Other Sustainabili	ity Certification	NIL		·			

Note:

(i) Maps showing geographical location, with close-up of the certified estates are attached as in Appendix 1 of this report.(ii) With reference to Circular MPOCC dated 2 April 2021

2. AREA STATEMENT AND FFB FORECAST:

Category of the listed organisation is Estate

NAME OF UNIT	CERTIFIED AREA (HA)	PLANTED AREA (HA)	FFB TON/ YEAR 2021	YIELD TON/ YEAR
Ladang Sawit Persatuan Nelayan Negeri Perak	80.94	80.94	1120.01	13.84
TOTAL	80.94	80.94	1120.01	13.84

15

Appendix 1: Location and Field Map

